

DIPOLE-DIPOLE ENERGY IN FERROMAGNETIC CRYSTALS

D.B. LITVIN

Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

Received 23 May 1973

The magnetic dipole-dipole energy of an array of ferromagnetic localized magnetic moments in a crystal is shown to be zero when the atom arrangement of the magnetic atoms is of cubic space group symmetry.

In the RA_{12} (R = rare earth) intermetallic compounds, the magnetic dipole-dipole contribution to the magnetic anisotropy energy has been calculated and found to be zero [1]. The rare earth magnetic moments in these compounds are ferromagnetically aligned and the rare earth atom arrangement consists of two interlocking face-centered cubic lattices, i.e. there are two atoms per unit cell. Kittel [2] has shown the vanishing of the dipolar anisotropy energy only in the case of a ferromagnetic array of magnetic moments whose atom arrangement consists of a single cubic lattice, i.e. with only one atom per unit cell. We show below, for an arbitrary number of atoms per unit cell, that the dipole-dipole contribution to the magnetic anisotropy energy of a ferromagnetically aligned array of magnetic moments on an atom arrangement of cubic space group symmetry is necessarily zero.

The magnetic dipole-dipole energy per unit cell in a crystal with ferromagnetically aligned magnetic moments can be written as

$$E_{\text{dipole}} = S \cdot \sum_{r_i} E(r_i) \quad (1)$$

where S is the localized magnetic moment of a magnetic moment of a magnetic atom and the sum is over the positions r_i of all magnetic atoms in the unit cell. The dipolar field at r_i due to a ferromagnetic array of magnetic moments at positions r' is given by:

$$E(r_i) = - \sum_{r'} \frac{S}{|r_i - r'|^3} + 3 \sum_{r'} \frac{(r_i - r') [(r_i - r') \cdot S]}{|r_i - r'|^5} \quad (2)$$

where r_i above the summation sign denotes that the sum is over all values of r' except $r' = r_i$.

Let $(R|\tau(R) + t)$ denote an element of F , the space

group of the magnetic atom arrangement. R is a rotation matrix, $\tau(R)$ the non-primitive translation associated with R , and t a primitive translation. Using (2) we can write:

$$E(Rr_i + \tau(R)) = - \sum_{r'} \frac{S}{|Rr_i + \tau(R) - r'|^3} \quad (3)$$

$$+ 3 \sum_{r'} \frac{(Rr_i + \tau(R) - r') [(Rr_i + \tau(R) - r') \cdot S]}{|Rr_i + \tau(R) - r'|^5}$$

Since $(R|\tau(R))$ is an element of F we can change the summations on the right hand side of eq. (3) from over r' to over $r'' = (R|\tau(R))^{-1} r'$. Noting that $|R(r_i - r'')| = |r_i - r''|$ and summing both sides of (3) over all elements R of the point group of F , we have that the k th component of the resulting sum is

$$\sum_R E_k(Rr_i + \tau(R)) = -N \sum_{r''} \frac{S_k}{|r_i - r''|^3} \quad (4)$$

$$+ 3 \sum_{r'', m, n, l} \frac{(r_i - r'')_m (r_i - r'')_l S_n}{|r_i - r''|^5} \sum_R R_{km} R_{nl}$$

where N is the order of the point group of F .

If F is a cubic space group, i.e. with point group T , T_h , O , T_d , or O_h , the matrices R constitute a real three dimensional irreducible representation of the point group. One can then perform the summation over R on the right-hand side of (4) using the orthogonality relation [3] $\sum_R R_{km} R_{nl} = \frac{1}{3} N \delta_{kn} \delta_{ml}$. Doing this and then summing over m , n , and l one derives:

$$\sum_R E(Rr_i + \tau(R)) = 0. \quad (5)$$

Since $r_i, R_2 r_i + \tau(R_2), \dots, R_N r_i + \tau(R_N)$ constitute a set of equivalent positions in the unit cell of F , (5)

is interpreted as follows: The vector sum of the dipole fields, due to a ferromagnetic array of magnetic moments whose magnetic atom arrangement is of cubic symmetry F , on a set of equivalent positions in the unit cell of F is zero. Since the sum over r_i in (1) can be subdivided into sums over sets of equivalent positions in the unit cell, we have that when F is a cubic space group, as in the rare earth intermetallic compounds, the magnetic dipole-dipole energy vanishes.

The author would like to express his thanks to N. Kaplan for pointing out this problem.

References

- [1] N. Kaplan, E. Dormann, K.H.J. Buschow and D. Lebenbaum, Phys. Rev. 7 (1973) 40.
- [2] C. Kittel, Rev. Mod. Phys. 21 (1949) 541.
- [4] M. Hamermesh, Group theory (Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1962) p. 103.